

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,926		01/30/2001	Robert Raymond Sealey	95-454	95-454 9079	
23164	7590	01/16/2003				
LEON R T			EXAM	EXAMINER		
2000 M STREET NW 7TH FLOOR				PHAN, JO	PHAN, JOSEPH T	
WASHINGTON, DC 200363307		200363307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2645		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/771,926	SEALEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI. BRAU DIO DATE CAL	Joseph T Phan	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2001 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·	` *					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· •						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•						
$_$ a) \square The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has been rec	ceived.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	uc priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	J and/01 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intention 6,	v (PTO 413) Papar Ne/a)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 13, 14, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "plug-in" in claims 2-4 13-15, and 20-22 and "mu-law" in claims 2,13, and 20 are relative terms which renders the claim indefinite. The terms "plug-in" and "mu-law" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

Claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 17-19, and 24-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tverskoy et al., Patent #6,341,160.

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 19 Tverskoy teaches a means, method, and computer readable medium in a user computer for sending a voice message, the message comprising:

recording a voice message based on encoding parameters recognized by a voice messaging system (col.4 line 62-col.5 line9 or col.6 lines 47-59);

storing the voice message within a data file having a selectable Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension (MIME) type recognizable by the voice messaging system as a voice message (col.5 lines 1-13); and

outputting the data file using a prescribed messaging protocol for transfer to a destination voice mailbox accessible by the voice messaging system for a corresponding voice messaging subscriber(col.5 lines 24-61; user's email inbox is a voice mailbox).

Regarding claims 6, 17,and 24, Tverskoy teaches the method, means, and medium of claims 1,12, and 21 wherein the outputting step includes outputting the data file using an executable e-mail client configured for sending the data file using a prescribed e-mail protocol as the prescribed messaging protocol (col.5 lines 1-14).

Regarding claims 7, 18, and 25, Tverskoy teaches the method, means, and medium of claims 6, 17, and 24 wherein the outputting step includes outputting the data file to the destination voice mailbox according to one of SMTP protocol and IMAP

Art Unit: 2645

protocol (col.5 lines 24-30).

Regarding claim 8, Tverskoy teaches a user computer comprising:

a recorder configured for recording a voice message input by a user according to selected encoding parameters recognized by a voice messaging system (32 Fig.1 and col.6 lines 48-59), the recorder configured for storing the voice message as a data file having a selectable MIME type recognizable by the voice messaging system as a voice message (col.5 lines 6-14; and an e-mail client configured for sending the data file to a destination voice mailbox, using a prescribed messaging protocol, enabling access by the voice messaging system for a corresponding voice messaging subscriber (col.6 lines 48-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 9-11, 13-16, and 20-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tverskoy in view of Luzeski et al., Patent #6,301,245.

Regarding claims 2-3, 9-10, 13-14, and 20-21, Tverskoy discloses the method, means, user computer, and computer readable medium of claims 1, 8, 12, and 19 and using an executable browser

Tverskoy does not expressly disclose wherein the recording step includes

Art Unit: 2645

encoding the voice message using mu-law encoding at an encoding rate of 8 kHz according to any one of G.711, G.729, and GSM encoding protocols.

Luzeski discloses encoding a voice message discloses wherein the recording step includes encoding the voice message using mu-law encoding at an encoding rate of 8 kHz according to any one of G.711, G.729, and GSM encoding protocols (appendix of col.24 lines 41-48)

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encode voice messages at a rate of 8 kHz according to G.711 protocols.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this as encoding voice messages from analog to digital format is necessary and encoding at 8 kHz according to G.711 protocol is a standard rate and protocol to use among a variety of other choices dependent on the design requirement of the system.

Regarding claims 4, 15, and 22, Tverskoy teaches the method, means, and medium of claims 3, 14, and 21 wherein the storing step includes generating a MIME extension recognizable by the voice messaging system and based on the one encoding protocol utilized by the executable browser plug-in resource (col.5 lines 1-14).

Regarding claims 5, 16, and 23, Tverskoy teaches the method, means, and medium of claims 3, 14, and 21 further comprising reviewing the voice message by the executable browser plug-in resource prior to the outputting step (col.6 lines 48-59; it is known that the user can review the voice message when it is stored and before it is

Art Unit: 2645

sent).

Regarding claim 11, Tverskoy teaches the user computer of claim 9, wherein the

Page 6

recorder selects the MIME type for the data file based on the one encoding protocol

used to encode the voice message (col.5 lines 6-14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph T Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-

3206. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-6:30, in every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

9600.

JTP

January 13, 2003

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Janjo